

# How Is Video Game Development Different from Software Development in Open Source?

Presentation by Asim Waheed  
CS846 – Mike Godfrey – Week 6

## Introduction

- Builds on Murphy-Hill et al.'s 2014 “Cowboys and Ankle Sprains” study
- Shifts focus from **industrial** to **open-source** game development
- Aim: understand how OSS game development differs in **team roles**, **bug handling**, and **developer perception**

## III The Problem

Why **study** this?

## What makes OSS game development different?

- SE research rarely studies open-source video games
- Game devs themselves claim the process is *meaningfully different*
- Authors ask:  
*What actually makes OSS game development different from other OSS software?*

## III New Idea

What did we **learn**?

## Data Collection

- 60 projects total → 30 **OSS games** + 30 **non-games**
- Languages: mostly C/C++ and Objective-C
- Methods: repository mining + developer survey

## Research Questions



RQ1: How do OSS game vs non-game developers **contribute** to their projects?



RQ2: How do they **prevent** & **handle malfunctions**?



RQ3: How do they **perceive** their development process?

## RQ1 Highlights

- Classified project files into 10 categories (code, audio, images, etc.)
- Found heavy emphasis on **multimedia files** in games
- Developers tend to form **specialized sub-teams** (graphics, audio, etc.)
- Over time: **initial focus** on code → **steady work** on assets

## RQ2 Highlights

- Categorized malfunctions (e.g., graphic, performance, security)
- Games → more **graphic-related faults** and **security issues**
- Non-games → mostly **programming errors**
- Games also show **wider spread** of fault types

## RQ3 Highlights

- Game devs struggle with **code reuse** and **automated testing**
- **Less clear** requirements and architecture evolution
- Greater emphasis on **creativity** and **cross-disciplinary skills**
- Aligns with industry findings but quantified in OSS context



## Positives

Did we **like** the paper?

## Comprehensive Multi-Method Approach

- Authors did not just mine repos and leave it at that.
- Combined **quantitative analysis** *and* **surveys**

## Novel Focus on OSS Games

- Prior work mostly studied industrial or commercial settings
- Studying open-source games gives a different lens:
  - Fewer **deadlines**
  - More **volunteers**
  - Different **motivations**
- Important step to understand wider ecosystem of game dev

## Large Dataset

- Sixty projects is **decently large**
- Inclusion of **both** 2D and 3D games
- Dataset size itself is an achievement

## III Negatives

What ideas were a **miss**?

## Different Domain Same Analysis

- Whole premise is OSS game development is **different**
  - Yet; **analysis pipeline is standard**.
- Analysis should be **adapted to the domain**
  - Files will **obviously** be different
  - Does not mean **developers** are creating those file

## Fault Distribution Without Context

- Fault distribution should be **normalized**:
  - Obviously more graphics errors than command-line utility
  - **Number of faults compared** to amount of code is more important
- ~20% of faults fall into “unknown” category
  - **Shows fundamental misunderstanding of domain**
- Overall data model needs a lot of work



## Perspectives from a Game Developer

What does a professional in the field think?

## Unrealistic View of “Game” Projects

- Many **games** are simulation engines or niche prototypes
- Most real-world games rely on **off-the-shelf engines** (Unreal, Unity, etc.)
- Study more about hobbyist C++ projects than real-world game development

## Lack of understanding of team dynamics

- No distinction between game designer and game developer
  - Many multimedia assets **created by designers**
  - However, they sometimes may be created by developers!
  - Studying distinction is important
- **Files** is not a good measure of **proportionality of work**
  - Many asset files would take a few minutes to create
  - A system file might take many days of iteration
- An interview would fix these issues

## Reductive Analysis

- Paper treats games like ordinary applications with extra art assets
- However, a game is a system:
  - Engine is always running
  - Constant interaction with assets, physics, AI
- Complexity of interactions is ignored in paper

# Rating: 1.5

Feels like it was written by someone who's never played a video game.



## Future Work

How can we extend this?

## Further Categorization

- Online vs single-player games
- Categorization by genre / POV:
  - Sandbox vs. Open World vs. Linear
  - Platformer vs. First Person vs. Third Person
- Differences in Game Engines:
  - Unreal vs. Godot vs. Unity

- What do ★YOU★ think?
- Should SE research differentiate between *game designers* and *game developers*?
- How could we model *the system-level architecture* of a game to reflect *its runtime interactions*?
- Do game developers need a *different curriculum* than traditional software engineers?

## Discussion Points