

Re: Information in Entelligence vs Global Counterparty

- *To:* Sally Beck <beck_sally@teneo-test.com>
 - *Subject:* Re: Information in Entelligence vs Global Counterparty
 - *From:* William S Bradford <William S Bradford>
 - *Date:* Mon, 06 Apr 2009 09:59:39 +0000
-

Sally,

I share your pain about increased deal flow. Have you spoken with Lavo about getting additional resources or is there a way to better allocate resources? Is the Entelligence database used by anybody? Should we not focus those resources on GCP?

I am getting hammered on the exposure questions.

Please help!!!

Bill

Enron North America Corp.

From: Sally Beck 12/11/2000 02:05 PM

To: Debbie R Brackett/HOU/ECT@ECT

cc: Mary Solmonson/HOU/ECT@ECT, James Scribner/Corp/Enron@Enron, William S Bradford/HOU/ECT

Subject: Re: Information in Entelligence vs Global Counterparty

I certainly agree that updated information from the wider company database should flow to update GCP. I assume that you have talked with both Mary Solmonson and James Scribner about this process to understand the details. They are the logical experts for that discussion. Your memo is a little vague on that, so if you are getting information from someone else and have not taken the time to talk with the best sources, I would suggest spending time with James and Mary so that you and they are appropriately informed. Absolutely there are procedures in place to handle these updates to GCP. I believe that these are pretty manual, as there are no systematic feeds from Entelligence into GCP. James and Mary (I refer to both because James has only recently assumed Mary's duties within Global Databases) can best discuss how this process works. If you are aware of specific concerns around this, I know that James and Mary will want to hear that from you. As is to be expected for any business that has experienced a 600% increase in the number of transactions year on year, there are challenges that we face daily in all of the tasks that we do. Your input will help James in making sure that priorities are set and personnel are deployed to tackle the biggest issues that we have. I recognize the challenge that Credit must be facing in aggregating exposures in our market environment today. With your input, we will do our very best to be certain that the data surrounding the 5.000+ deals that are done daily is as accurate as possible.

From: Debbie R Brackett

12/11/2000 11:42 AM

To: Sally Beck/HOU/ECT@ECT

cc: Shona Wilson/NA/Enron@Enron, Avril Forster/Corp/Enron@ENRON, Mary Solmonson/HOU/ECT@ECT, James Scribner/Corp/Enron@Enron, Eric Wetterstroem/HOU/ECT@ECT, William S Bradford/HOU/ECT@ECT

Subject: Information in Entelligence vs Global Counterparty

Sally,

I have been made aware of a disconnect between the data held in Global Counterparty and in Global Companies (Entelligence). Much effort has been put forth in "cleansing" the parent/subsidiary information presented in Entelligence, yet the same information is not being replicated in GCP except for on an ad hoc, manual basis.

What is the standard for data population in GCP vs Entelligence? Should changes and corrections in Entelligence not flow to GCP since our risk and trading systems are based on the information housed therein? What process and or systems enhancements are necessary to accomplish this end result?

It appears we have a major GCP cleanup in the works while much of the work has been already been done for Entelligence. As we struggle to report trading positions properly, let's take advantage of our in house knowledge and share counterparty data validation results in order to minimize research and data population efforts.

Your comments and ideas are welcome.

Debbie